U.S. Nuclear Power Plant License Renewal and Long-Term Operation



American Nuclear Society





Steve Nesbit ANS President July 5, 2021

> Presentation to the Joint Meeting: Annual Congress of the Mexican Nuclear Society and 2021 Symposium of the Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society

Cancun, Mexico



Outline of Presentation

- Nuclear Power in the U.S.
- U.S. License Renewal and Long-Term
 Operation
- U.S. Subsequent License Renewal
- International License Renewal and Long-Term Operation
- Conclusion





- 93 nuclear power reactors generate about 20 percent of U.S. electricity
 - Nearly 55 percent of U.S. carbon emission-free electricity
 - All are large light water reactors
- Increasing recognition among policy makers and many environmental groups that nuclear energy is needed today and in the future
 - Reliable, around-the-clock electricity
 - Environmental benefits



U.S. Energy Policy


- Difficult to Define
 - Each new administration pursues objectives which are constrained by congressional funding, laws, regulations, economics, etc.
 - Biden Administration is strongly focused on clean energy, including nuclear power but with a strong emphasis on renewable energy
- If there was a nuclear energy policy, it might be
 - Continued operation of existing power reactors
 - Development and deployment of new nuclear power plants, focusing on
 - Small modular reactors
 - Advanced non-light water reactors



New Reactors

- No plans for new large light water reactors after Vogtle 3 and 4
- Small modular reactors
 - NuScale design certification and potential deployment late this decade
- Advanced non-light water reactors
 - Developer companies with a variety of designs

 Helium, liquid metal, molten salt coolants
 Several fuel designs and concepts
 - Department of Energy (DOE) Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program
 - $\,\circ\,$ Two concepts to be deployed by 2027
 - $_{\odot}$ Additional cost-share support for other designs



Society

Existing Fleet of Reactors

Given the timescale for deployment of new reactors, the existing fleet will be the backbone of U.S. nuclear electricity production for years and probably decades to come





Society

However -

- The ability to expand electricity production from the existing fleet is very limited
- The U.S. nuclear fleet is aging and, barring license renewal and subsequent license renewal, units will have to shut down due to expiring operating licenses





Society

How Do You Get More Energy From an Existing Nuclear Reactor?

- Power uprate increase the power level of the reactor
- Improve capacity factor run the reactor a greater percentage of time
- Life extension do not shut the reactor down at the end of its nominal "lifetime"






Society



- First one in the U.S. was in 1977
- Since then, there have been 170 approved in the U.S. (some units uprated more than once)
- Total of ~8,000 megawatt-electric
- Only one power uprate application is pending at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and no more applications are expected for the next couple of years
- Very limited potential remaining for existing reactor power uprates



Capacity Factor



Based on data from the U.S. Department of Energy – Energy Information Agency and the Nuclear Energy Institute



CAN

Aging U.S. Reactor Fleet

Figure 18. U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors–Years of Operation by the End of 2020



Note: Ages are based on operating license issued date and have been rounded up to the end of the year. For the most recent information, go to the Dataset Index Web page at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ doc-collections/datasets/.

> Figure from the Nuclear Energy Institute



Long-Term Operation

- Requires
 - Demonstration of adequate safety and operating margin
 - Regulatory approval
 - Favorable economics for operation
- Already a success story in the U.S.
 - Initial 20-year license renewals (up to 60 years of operation) are straightforward in the U.S.
 - Subsequent license renewals (up to 80 years of operation) have been granted
 - Underpinned by
 - Aging management programs
 - Experimental investigations
 - Analytical work



Initial License Renewal

- The NRC licenses power reactors for 40 years in the U.S.
 - Length of license was not based on technological limitations, but on economics and accounting
 - However, analyses and engineering decisions were based on the projected 40-year life
- Discussions on license renewal began in earnest in the 1980s



Situation in the 1990s

- Most nuclear plants had received initial licenses
- Construction costs had proven much higher than originally envisioned
- Operations were beginning to improve but well below current expectations
- The regulatory framework was challenging
- Environmental benefits of zero-emissions electricity production were not nearly as valued as today


It was not clear that long-term operation would be cost-effective



Society

Regulatory Framework

- The NRC, industry, and other stakeholders worked together in the 1990s to establish a workable framework for license renewal
- NRC issued 10 CFR Part 54, Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants, in 1995





Society

Key Decision Point for License Renewal

- Would a license renewal be based on a plant's current licensing basis?
 - Alternatively, would a renewed license require upgrades to make a plant's licensing basis consistent with the latest NRC expectations?
- Ultimately, the NRC decided to stick with the plant's current licensing basis when considering an application for a renewed operating license



Society

Process for License Renewal

- Evaluation of safety impacts
 - Evaluation of licensee's plans for managing aging plant systems during the renewal period
 - Does no require absolute proof of no unacceptable degradation – just that monitoring will detect degradation before it reaches unacceptable levels
- Evaluation of environmental impacts
 - Generic evaluation in NUREG-1437, Rev. 1
 - Site-specific impacts
 - Includes impacts of continue storage of spent nuclear fuel
- Opportunities for public input



Technical Challenges

- U.S. focus is on passive components
 - Active components are addressed on an ongoing basis by Maintenance Rule
- Technical issues
 - Reactor pressure vessel neutron embrittlement at high fluence
 - Irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking of reactor internals and primary system components
 - Concrete and containment degradation
 - Electrical cable qualification and condition assessment



Addressing Technical Issues

- Research by DOE, NRC and industry to develop and refine aging management programs
- NRC Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) report (NUREG-1801)





Society

First License Renewal Experience

- Calvert Cliffs Units 1 and 2 and Oconee Units 1, 2, and 3 submitted applications for license renewal in 1998
 - Lead plants for the industry
- The process went relatively smoothly and on schedule and the NRC issued renewed licenses to the plants in 2000
- Based on that favorable experience, many plants began the license renewal process
 - Three applications in 2000 and six in 2001



Society

First License Renewal Experience

- As of today the NRC has issued renewed licenses to 96 nuclear power reactors
- Nevertheless, nine of those units have been permanently shutdown for economics or other reasons
- Of the 93 operating reactors in the U.S.
 - Seven are operating under their original 40-year license
 - 82 have had license renewals granted (up to 60 years of licensed operation)
 - Four have had subsequent license renewals granted (up to 80 years of licensed operation)




Society

Subsequent License Renewal (SLR)

- In the 2000s license renewal became a fairly routine matter
- Industry interest was focused on building large light water reactors to add additional nuclear capacity ("nuclear renaissance")
- Interest in construction of new reactors cooled in the 2010s
- There was still an incentive to add another 20 years of operation (up to 80 years total) for operating nuclear power reactors



Case for SLR



Based on Data from U.S. NRC 2020-21 Information Digest (NUREG-1350, Vol. 32), assuming no additional subsequent license renewal



SLR Development

- After some consideration and stakeholder feedback, the NRC decided the license renewal framework is adequate for SLR, but additional guidance would be needed
- In 2017 the NRC issued
 - The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) (NUREG-2191)
 - The Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications (NUREG-2192)
- Documents were developed with input from the Nuclear Energy Institute, interested utilities, and other stakeholders



SLR Actions

- Three applications for SLR in 2018
 - Turkey Point 3&4, Peach Bottom 2&3, and Surry 1&2
 - NRC issued renewed licenses in 2019, 2020, and 2021, respectively
- Two applications currently under NRC review
 - Point Beach 1&2 and North Anna 1&2
 - Submitted in 2020
- One application currently under acceptance review
 - Oconee 1,2, and 3
 - Submitted June 2021



How Many U.S. Reactors Will Apply for SLR?

- Application for St. Lucie 1&2 anticipated in 2021
- Some U.S. utilities have made ambitious statements of intent about reducing or eliminating greenhouse gas emissions over the next few decades
- Difficult to see how they can meet those commitments while shutting down nuclear power plants
 - Example Duke Energy announced it would seek SLR for all 11 units it operates



Society


Economics

- There are plans to shutdown some U.S. plants for economic and other reasons
 - For such plants, obviously SLR is not relevant
- For plants that continue to operate, SLR is a relatively cheap way to keep future electricity generation options open
 - Even so, some plants may require major refurbishments (e.g., buried piping replacement, electrical cable replacement) that make plant life extension uneconomical
- Broader U.S. policy developments such as state and/or federal incentives for clean energy would make SLR more attractive



40 Year License Renewal

- In 2021 the NRC performed a feasibility assessment on renewing licenses for 40 years instead of 20 years (operation to 100 years)
- On June 22, 2021 the staff recommended not pursing the changes necessary to enable license renewal for 40 years





Society

International License Renewal Situation

- Regulatory requirements and practices differ from country to country
- The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) was been coordinating international cooperation and sharing of best practices since 2010
- International Generic Ageing Lessons Learned (IGALL) Safety Report Series No.
 82 published in 2015 and revised in 2017



Society

International License Renewal Situation (cont.)

- IGALL is largely based on U.S. experience but goes beyond GALL and GALL-SLR
 - U.S. license renewal programs are focused on passive components because active components are addressed by the Maintenance Rule
 - IGALL includes programs for active components
- Countries with a small number of reactors can benefit from cooperation afforded through the IAEA programs





Latin America License Renewals

- Argentina
 - Atucha 1 operating license renewed in 2018
 - Embalse resumed operation in 2019 after refurbishment
 - Heavy water reactors have somewhat different technical issues than light water reactors
- Brazil
 - Angra 1 planning on long term operations through 2044
- Mexico
 - Laguna Verde 1&2 license renewed for 30 years in 2020





Conclusions

- Life extension of currently operating nuclear power plants is an important means of providing clean, reliable electricity in the coming decades
- There is a well-established and workable system for nuclear power reactor license renewals in the United States
- License renewal lessons-learned are being shared internationally through the IGALL program
- Ultimate decisions on life extension are based on economic and other considerations



ans.org